



# PARADIGMA

Jurnal Filsafat, Sains, Teknologi, dan Sosial Budaya

Volume 28 Nomor 3, Oktober 2022



IKIP BUDI UTOMO



P-ISSN: 0852-3185

E-ISSN 2657-1803

(2) WhatsApp x | Download file | iLovePDF x | Journey: Journal of English Lang... x | EDITORIAL TEAM | Paradigma: Ju... x

Not secure | ejurnal.budiutomomalang.ac.id/index.php/paradigma/EDITORIAL\_TEAM

Register Login

## Paradigma: Jurnal Filsafat, Sains, Teknologi, dan Sosial Budaya

Current Archives Announcements About ▾

Q Search

### EDITORIAL TEAM

**Editor in Chief**

[Ike Dian Puspita Sari, M.Pd](#) (Sinta ID [6145235](#))

**Managing Editor**

[Nikmatul Izza, M.Pd](#) (Sinta ID [6091051](#))

**Editors**

[Azza Aulia Ramadhani, M.Pd](#) (Sinta ID [6145232](#))

[Fra Dewi Kartika, M.Pd](#) (Sinta ID [6094450](#))

[Endang Setyowati, M.Pd](#) (Sinta ID [6660120](#))

[Vrestanti Novalia Santosa](#) (Sinta ID [6659481](#))

PARADIGMA

EDITORIAL TEAM

ABOUT

OPEN ACCESS STATEMENT

PUBLICATION ETHICS

AUTHOR GUIDELINE

PLAGIARISM POLICY

PEER AND REVIEW PROCESS

AUTHOR FEE

ONLINE SUBMISSION

17:29 30/07/2023

(2) WhatsApp x | Download file | iLovePDF x | Journey: Journal of English Lang... x | EDITORIAL TEAM | Paradigma: Ju... x

Not secure | ejurnal.budiutomomalang.ac.id/index.php/paradigma/EDITORIAL\_TEAM

### Reviewers

- [Prof. Dr. Imam Suwitno, M.Pd](#) (Universitas Negeri Malang) SCOPUS ID ([57210111459](#))
- [Prof. Dr. Djoko Sarjono, M.Pd](#) (Universitas Negeri Malang) SCOPUS ID ([57210466491](#))
- [Dr. Ibnu Mujib, M.A](#) (IKIP Budi Utomo Malang) Sinta ID ([6075275](#))
- [Dr. Sakban Rosidi, M.Si](#) (IKIP Budi Utomo Malang) Sinta ID ([6704017](#))
- [Dr. Endang Sumarti, M.Pd](#) (IKIP Budi Utomo Malang) Sinta ID ([6029001](#))
- [Dr. Mukarom, M.Hum](#) (IKIP Budi Utomo Malang) Sinta ID ([6715588](#))
- [Dr. Ima Widyanah, M.Pd](#) (IKIP Budi Utomo Malang) SCOPUS ID ([57215550432](#))
- [Khusnul Khotimah, S.Pd., M.Pd](#) (Universitas Mataram) SCOPUS ID ([56656624200](#))
- [Mistianah](#) (IKIP Budi Utomo Malang) SCOPUS ID ([57201423665](#))

Address: Jl. Simpang Arjuno No. 14B, Kauman-Malang.

Email : [paradigma@budiutomomalang.ac.id](mailto:paradigma@budiutomomalang.ac.id)

TEMPLATE

E-ISSN

9 772537 180008

P-ISSN

Index:

sinta S5

17:29 30/07/2023

Browser tabs: (2) WhatsApp, Download file | iLovePDF, Journey: Journal of English Lang..., Vol 28 No 3 (2022): Paradigma: J...

Address bar: ejurnal.budiotomomalang.ac.id/index.php/paradigma/issue/view/120

Page Header: HOME / ARCHIVES / Vol 28 No 3 (2022): Paradigma: Jurnal Fisika, Sains, Teknologi, dan Sosial Budaya



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33503/paradigma.v28i3>  
Published: 2023-02-04

PARADIGMA

EDITORIAL TEAM

ABOUT

OPEN ACCESS STATEMENT

PUBLICATION ETHICS

AUTHOR GUIDELINE

PLAGIARISM POLICY

PEER AND REVIEW PROCESS

AUTHOR FEE

ONLINE SUBMISSION

### Articles

**Analisis Historis Kain Songket "Motif Manuk" di Kabupaten Manggarai Barat**  
Faizah Ulumi Firdausi, Hendri Setiawan 1-7  
[pdf](#)  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33503/paradigma.v28i3.2385>  
Abstract views: 124 , pdf downloads: 145

**Students' Perception On Language Style Used By English Lecturers In The Classroom**  
yahmun yahmun, Susanto Susanto 8-12  
[pdf](#)  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33503/paradigma.v28i3.2603>  
Abstract views: 101 , pdf downloads: 20

Browser tabs: (2) WhatsApp, Download file | iLovePDF, Journey: Journal of English Lang..., Vol 28 No 3 (2022): Paradigma: J...

Address bar: ejurnal.budiotomomalang.ac.id/index.php/paradigma/issue/view/120

**Pencemaran Parasit Pada Sayuran di Beberapa Pasar Tradisional Kota Malang**  
Permata Ika Hidayati, As'ad Syamsul Arifin, Dyah Ayu Widyaningrum 22-31  
[pdf](#)  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33503/paradigma.v28i3.2620>  
Abstract views: 195 , pdf downloads: 140

**Pengembangan Buku Ajar Assesment Pendidikan Berbasis Kontekstual Pada Mahasiswa Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra Indonesia IKIP Budi Utomo Malang**  
Lis Susilawati, Endang Setyowati 32-41  
[pdf](#)  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33503/paradigma.v28i3.2284>  
Abstract views: 69 , pdf downloads: 78


**Metode Simak-Berantai Dalam Meningkatkan Kualitas Pembelajaran Menyimak Pada Mahasiswa PBSI Angkatan 2021B IKIP Budi Utomo**  
Artifa Sorraya, Lully Zahrotul Lutfiyah, Yana Yana 47-55  
[pdf](#)  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33503/paradigma.v28i3.2373>  
Abstract views: 98 , pdf downloads: 90

**Analisis Profesionalisme Guru di Era Post Pandemi Covid 19 dan Hubungannya dengan Prestasi Belajar Siswa**  
Ashari Husen, Wiwik Kusmawati 71-81  
[pdf](#)  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33503/paradigma.v28i3.2152>  
Abstract views: 91 , pdf downloads: 90

**Pengaruh Sanitasi dalam Kandang Sapi terhadap Total Bakteri Susu Sapi Perah di KAN Jabung Malang**  
Dewi Kartika Sari Wijayan Daru, Ahmad Syaqui, Majida Ramadhan 56-63  
[pdf](#)  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33503/paradigma.v28i3.2121>

TEMPLATE




E-ISSN



9 772837 180008

P-ISSN

Index:



## Students' Perception On Language Style Used By English Lecturers In The Classroom

Yahmun

[yahmun@budiutomomalang.ac.id](mailto:yahmun@budiutomomalang.ac.id)

IKIP Budi Utomo Malang

Santoso

[santosu620@gmail.com](mailto:santosu620@gmail.com)

STKIP Trenggalek

**Abstract:** *The objective of the research is to analyse the students percetion on lectures language style used in daily communication. There are five types of language styles, namely frozen, formal, consultative, casual and intimate. In the world of learning, especially in tertiary institutions, the language style used by a lecturer is one of the determining factors for student success. However, the determining factor for the success of a student in learning can not only be seen from the language style of a lecturer but also from the perceptions of the students. It is because of that the authors are interested in analyzing students' perceptions of the style of language used by a lecturer in class at STKIP PGRI Trenggalek. This research is a descriptive study using a qualitative method in which the population is students majoring in English education at STKIP PGRI Trenggalek in the 2022/2023 academic year. Participants were selected using purposive sampling, while the instrument in this study was a questionnaire. The results of this study in general were that students had a pretty good perception (832.4) of the style of language used by lecturers in class with the highest score in the consultative style of 1274 and the lowest score in the intimate style of 369.*

**Keywords:** *language; language style; perception*

### INTRODUCTION

In making language, there are several aspects that influence the use of it, one of them is style. According to Richard and Schmidt (2002:503) "style is alternative ways of speaking within a community, often ranging from more colloquial to more formal". As quote from Joos, Payne (2012:9) dispart style into five types they are: frozen, formal, consultative, casual and intimate.

As quoted from Joos in Haryanto (2001:105) in Romadlon, Payne (2012:9), Mesthrie et al (2004:93). There are several types of style, as follows.

#### 1. Frozen Style

This style also called by hyper-formal style. It is a style used commonly for prose writing or for speech. It also used when we talk to strangers (Haryanto, 2001: 105). The characteristic

of this style has lacks intonation, usually is the use of formal and polite language. Frozen language is always same and never change. For example: Lord's prayer, constitutional, etc.

The examples are:

- a. On this occasion I desire to preach on the title.....
- b. Farewell and Godspeed.

#### 2. Formal Style

Formal style usually is used in formal situation and uses formal language. Formal style is used by people who still have a distance between them (speaker and listener). A speaker usually avoids repetition or another term which tends to be a casual term and used in a discussion or a meeting (Haryanto, 2001:105). The characteristic this style has complete

sentences and specific word choice, also marker of formal English style includes *whom, may I, for the purpose of* and *so on*.

Here are the examples:

- a. Mrs. William, would you like to have a sit first before you deliver your speech?
- b. I would like to introduce myself to you.

### 3. Consultative Style

Consultative style is used in business or in discussing something. A speaker who uses this style usually does not plan what he or she wants to say (Haryanto, 2001:106). Thus, there is a big possibility to make mistakes in speaking, such as word repetition or diction which cannot be avoided. In consultative, pronunciation is explicit, word choice is careful, sentence structure is complete and also markers of rapport like *hmm, yes, I know*.

Some of the examples are:

- a. Excuse me, I think it's really important forme to add about the topic that we discuss.
- b. Actually, we have the same views about thisproblem. So, why don't you joint with us?

### 4. Casual Style

Casual style is a style used in informal (casual) situation and using informal language. The relationship between speaker and hearer is close, so they usually use words or term repetition and frequently use ellipse sentences (Haryanto, 2001:105). In this style, word choice general and not specific, also sentence syntax often incomplete.

The examples are:

- a. What makes you say that?
- b. That's bullshit...!!!!

### 5. Intimate Style

Intimate style manifests extreme structural simplicity. This type of style has characteristic that its common use of personal language codes and it is only for certain group. It occurs in the certain community which has its own language style (Haryanto, 2001:106). Intimate style represents lexical form without grammatical structure and only partial phonology structure.. Intonation is more important than wording or grammar.

Here are the examples:

- a. Jesus Chris...!=> Oh my God
- b. Damn hot => Hot

In educational field, especially in university, language style that used by lecturer is one of important role for success of the students. However, factors that influence success of students in learning English, not only can be seen from language style that used by lecturer but also from perception that have by students.

The students that have good perception to their lecturer will make them more serious in learning English and automatically can improve their knowledge. In the opposite, students that have bad perception to the lecturer will cause the students uninspired in learning English.

According to Noe and Evan (2002:2) "perception is a process whereby the brain, or functionally dedicated subsystem of the brain, builds up representations of relevant features of the environment on the basis of information encoded by the sensory receptors".

## METHODOLOGY

Descriptive qualitative research was used in this research as a way to get information about students' perception on language style used by lecturer in the classroom. Based on the educational research book, Gay and



Arisian (2000:275) states that A descriptive study determines and describes the way thing are. Descriptive research is some detail for two major reasons. First, a high percentage of research studies are descriptive in nature. Second, the descriptive method is useful investigating a variety of educational problems and issues. Descriptive data are usually collected by observation, interview, telephone and questionnaire.

The students who study in STKIP PGRI Trenggalek 2022-2023 in academic year and who are studying in the five semesters be participants in this research. They were totally about 68 students from two classes. There were 36 students from class A and 32 students from class B. In this research, the participants were taken by using purposive sampling. It is B class.

The researcher used questionnaires to see perception of students about language style used by lecturer in the classroom with the type of questionnaire is structured items (also called closed-ended items) because the participant's only checklist alternative answers. In this reaearch, peer debriefing was used by researcher to checking data trustworthiness. According to Gay, et.al (2012:393) states that peer debriefing is identify a critical friend, colleague, or significant other who is willing and able to help reflect on the research by listening, prompting and recording insights throughout the process. The questionnaire was used to get the data and has checked by the peoples that has professionals.

To analyze the data, researcher used the steps that are states by Gay; et.al (2000:239) states that there are some steps to analyze the qualitative data namely:

#### 1. Data managing

The data organized and order to completeness of students answer from the questionnaire.

#### 2. Reading/Memoing

The researcher read the answer of students from the questionnaire, in other to understand the students' answer.

#### 3. Classifying

The researcher classified students' perception into five indicators of language style that used by lecturer in the classroom. They are; frozen style, formal style, consultative style, casual style and intimate style.

To analyze the percentage of students' respond for each indicator, the researcher used formula by sudijono, (2010:43):

$$P = \frac{f}{N} \times 100\%$$

f = Frequency of students' responds

N = number students' cases/total

P = percentage of each category

#### 4. Interpreting

According to Gay (2000:250) "data interpretation is based heavily on the connection, common aspect and linkages among the data, specially the identified categories and patterns". The researcher interpreted the data into general conclusion and understanding. To interpreting the data, the researcher used formula that suggest by Sudjono (2010:52) as follow:

$$R = H - L + 1$$

R

—

i

Where:

R = Range

H = Highest

Score L

= Lowest Score

1 = Constant

Numberi = Class  
Interval

5. Writing report

Writing of the report to describe the research finding is the final process in qualitative research Gay (2000:253). The finally the researcher wrote the report.

## FINDING AND DISCUSSION

The researcher found that the students have **Good enough** perception on language style used by lecturer in the classroom with the average score was **832,4**. For each indicator, the researcher found several findings. There were as follow:

First, the students have *very good* perception on *consultative style* used by lecturer in the classroom with the score was 1274. The researcher assumes that consultative style that used by lecturer in the classroom causing the students more enthusiasm in process of learning because this style makes interaction between the lecturer and the students. Chaer and Agustina (2010:71) say that this style is language style that the most operational. So, more of students like if this style used by lecturer in the classroom.

Second, the students have *very good* perception on *formal style* used by lecturer in the classroom with the score was 1105. It is probably because in formal style, the lecturer use explicit pronunciation, clattering intonation and then information that is given by lecturer was receivable well by the students. Although the information was given to one student in the classroom, by using this formal style, the other students can receive the information. Chair and Agustina (2010:71) implied that the formal style was use in formal situation, was not in interface which was emphasize on transmtion of information.

Third, the students have *good enough* perception on *casual style* used

by lecturer in the classroom with the score was 734. The researcher assumes that casual style that used by lecturer can cause shrinkage of stress of students in process of learning so that information and explanation that is given by lecturer can be understood by students.

Fourth, the students have *less good* perception on *frozen style* used by lecturer in the classroom with the score was 630. The researcher assumes that frozen style can makes the students bored to hear explanation that is given by lecturer because this style is monotonous. According to Chaer and Agustina (2010:71), Frozen style is very formal style that used in formal ceremony such as sermon in the mosque.

The last, the students has *bad* perception on *intimate style* used by lecturer in the classroom with the score was 369. The researcher assumes that this style unsuitable used in the classroom because the relation between the speaker and listener is to close and only them that understand what they said so that if the lecturer use intimate style in the classroom causing the information that is given by lecturer do not understood by all of student in the classroom. According to Chaer and Agustina (2010:71), Intimate style usually used when the relation with speaker and listener has intimate like family and friend.

## CONCLUSION

This research has purpose to see perception of student about language style used by lecturer in the classroom. The participant of this research was class B in English program in fourth grader at STKIP PGRI Trenggalek in 2022-2023 academic year. The quistionnaires had used to see about students' perception on language style used by lecturer in the classroom. The result of this reseach was the student has good enough perception about language style used by lecturer in the classroom with the highest score in

consultative style used by lecturer in the classroom and the lowest score in intimate style used by lecturer in the classroom.

### **SUGGESTION**

Based on research findings, the researcher wants to offer some suggestions for lecturer, students and the next researcher. The suggestions as follow:

1. Lecturer  
The researcher hopes the lecturer more selective to choose the kind of language style that used in the classroom
2. Students  
The researcher hopes that the students more seriously in learning although the kind of language style used by each lecturer are different
3. Next Researcher  
The researcher hopes that this research can give information to next researcher about students' perception on language style used by lecturer in the classroom

### **REFERENCES**

- Broderick, John P. Anonymous. *The five clocks of Martin joes: Why they keep on ticking*. Old dominion University. ( published Thesis )
- Chaer and Agustina. 2010. *Sosiolinguistik: Perkenalan Awal*. PT. Rineka Cipta, Jakarta
- Gay, L.R and Airasian, Peter. 2000. *Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Applications*. United State of America: Mc Millan Publishing Company.
- Gay, L.R et.al. 2012. *Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Applications*. United State of America: Pearson Education
- Haryanto, Yan. 2001. *Sociolinguistics*. Jakarta: Universitas Terbuka
- Mesthrie, Rajend et. al. 2004. *Introducing Sociolinguistics*. Edinburgh University Press
- Noe, Alva and Evan, Thompson. 2002. *Vision and Mind: Selected Reading in the Philosophy of Perception*. United States: The MIT Press
- Payne, Ph.D. Ruby K. 2012. *A framework for Understanding Poverty*. Aha! Process, Inc
- Richard, C. Jack and Schmidt Richard. 2002. *Longman Dictionary of Language teaching and applied Linguistic*. Fakenham, Norfolk: Fakenham Photosetting
- Romadlon, Farid Noor. Anonymous. *Using Casual Style to Engage Students' Speaking Motivation for English Young Learners*. Teylin 2: From policy to classroom
- Sudijono, Anas. 2010. *Pengantar Statistik Pendidikan*. Jakarta: PT Rajawali Pers
- Wardhaugh, Ronald and Fuller Janet M. 2015. *An Introduction to Sociolinguistics*. Willey Blackwell
- Language variations found in the interaction between Buyer-seller at bunder market sragen\_ <http://eprints.ums.ac.id/69376/1/front%20pages%20new.pdf>



PEER REVIEW PROCESS

The review process in PARADIGMA journal includes several stages including: The editor receives the script from the author; The editor evaluates the manuscript (the purpose and scope of the journal, in the style of the house, additional data); (Denied if it does not meet the criteria) Filtering editors for plagiarism in offline and online databases manually; (Denied if major plagiarism is found, contact the author if there is a small redundancy or plagiarism found for clarification) The editor sends the script to the reviewer along with the review form (double blind review, both the reviewer and the author remain anonymous with each other); The reviewer sends the review form back to the Editor (with a revised text if necessary); Editor's decision (rejected, requires major revisions, needs minor revisions, or is accepted); Confirm to the Author. If revised, the author revises the text and must be returned to the editor without delay. Returns of more than three months will be considered as new shipments.

**OJS Workflow Chart**

```

graph TD
    subgraph Author
        A1[1. Submission  
Author uploads file to journal Web site, and enters metadata for OAI indexing]
        A2[2. Submission Review  
Author can track process, see file, review, revise and resubmit, at editor's request]
        A3[3. Submission Editing  
Author reviews copyedits and proofreads galley]
        A4[4. Issue Management  
Author creates issues, schedules submissions, organizes Table of Contents]
    end

    subgraph Editor_and_Section_Editor
        E1[1. Submission Queue  
Editor assigns submission to Section Editor to see through the editorial process]
        E2[2. Submission Review  
a) Check submission  
b) Conduct peer review  
c) Reach editorial decision]
        E3[3. Submission Editing  
a) Copyedit submission  
b) Layout of formatted galley  
c) Proofread galley]
        E4[4. Issue Management  
a) Create issues  
b) Schedule submissions  
c) Organize Table of Contents]
    end

    subgraph Journal_Manager_Reviewer_Copyeditor_and_Proofreader
        J1[Journal Management  
Setup and configure journal: enroll editors, reviewers, copyeditors, proofreaders]
        J2[Select Reviewers  
Editor invites reviewers from database with interests, load]
        J3[Review Conducted  
Reviewer submits review and recommendation (which may be noted by editor)]
        J4[Copyediting]
        J5[Layout  
Layout Editor prepares galley in HTML/PDF, PS, etc.]
        J6[Proofreading]
    end

    A1 --> E1
    E1 --> J1
    J1 --> E2
    E2 --> J2
    J2 --> J3
    J3 --> E3
    E3 --> J4
    J4 --> J5
    J5 --> J6
    J6 --> E4
    E4 --> A4
    A4 --> J1
  
```

**Key**

- Journal Manager
- Editor
- Section Editor
- Reviewer
- Copyeditor
- Layout Editor

Paradigma: Jurnal Filsafat, Sains, Teknologi, dan Sosial Budaya

Current Archives Announcements About

Register Login

Search

ONLINE SUBMISSION

Online Submissions

Already have a Username/Password for PARADIGMA?  
GO TO [LOGIN](#)

Need a Username/Password?  
GO TO [REGISTER](#)

Registration and login are required to submit items online and to check the status of current submissions.

PARADIGMA

EDITORIAL TEAM

ABOUT

OPEN ACCESS STATEMENT

PUBLICATION ETHICS

AUTHOR GUIDELINE

PLAGIARISM POLICY

PEER AND REVIEW PROCESS

AUTHOR FEE

ONLINE SUBMISSION