

FOSTER
JOURNAL OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING

Volume 10(1) 2020, 2021 / Page 1000 - 2000

Home About Us For your library contact us

FOSTER: Journal of English Language Teaching is a high quality open access peer-reviewed research journal that is published by the **Faculty of Education and Teacher Training of UAN Purwokerto**. The **FOSTER: Journal of English Language Teaching** is providing a platform that welcomes and acknowledges high quality original research and theoretical study papers about English language teaching and learning written by researchers, academics, professionals, and practitioners from all over the world.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24055/foer.v10i1.1000>

Recent Works

- Events & Reports
- Publication Fee
- Plagiarism Policy
- Services
- Our Team
- Archived Issues

FOSTER: Journal of English Language Teaching

Indexing












Foster: Journal of English L...
503 followers · 100 articles

FOSTER

Journal of English Language Teaching

Volume 10(1) April 2021
Page 1000 - 2000

QR Code

Foster: Journal of English Language Teaching

Editorial Team

Editor in Chief: Syaifulrahmi
State Islamic Institute of Palopo (IAIN Palopo), Indonesia

Managing Editor: Muhammad Akbar
State Islamic Institute of Palopo (IAIN Palopo), Indonesia

Editor: Yusuf Margianto Alimudin
Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia

Editor: M. Zaini Miftah
State Islamic Institute of Palangkaraya (IAIN Palangkaraya), Indonesia

Editor: Dadi Ihsan
State Islamic Institute of Palopo (IAIN Palopo), Indonesia

FOR CONTRIBUTORS

- Focus & Scope
- Publication Fee
- Plagiarism Policy
- Sections

FOR READERS

- Editorial Board
- Electronic Issue

FOR AUTHORS

- Make Submission
- Author Guidelines
- Manuscript Template

Editorial Team

Editor: Muhammad Kamari Kabilan
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

Editor: Muhammad Athim Rusaidilaila
Akademi Teknologi Industri Dewantara Palopo, Indonesia

Editor: Sinyee Thi Thiny Law
Kalasin University, Thailand

Editor: Salsab Zahidah A. Dini-Tahir
Universitas Iqra Bumi, Indonesia

Editor: Adil Ghafiq
STAIN Pamekasan, Indonesia

Editor: Md. Khairul Islam Khan
Manna International University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Editor: Muhammad Hady
Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Indonesia

Design Editor

FOSTER: Cikarang

Year	2018	2019
Citation	1	2
Index	1	2
ISI index	1	2

What you can read

Teacher's Competency in Guiding Learning Skills at STAIN I Palopo

Hybrid Foreign Intervention in Learning English as English Medium (E-M)

Editor: **Imam Hidayat**
**Quid-e-Azam Academy for Educational Development,
 Lahore, Pakistan**

Presidential Practice Examinations (PPE)
 • 200

*An Analysis of Students' Spelling Errors
 in Writing at the 9th grade of SMPK of
 Karachi, Nepal*
 • 100

*Identifying the Students' Difficulties in
 Comprehending SMPK Reading Text*
 • 100

Keywords

Reviewers:

- **Andrius Krasas** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00001](#)), State Islamic Institute of Kerinci, Indonesia
- **Rizwan Fauzani** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00002](#)), State Islamic Institute of Palopo, Indonesia
- **Zul Arif Mulya** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00003](#)), State Islamic Institute of Palopo, Indonesia
- **Muhammad Zukri H** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00004](#)), State Islamic Institute of Bone, Indonesia
- **Moh. Lutfi Muband** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00005](#)), (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00006](#)), Muhammadiyah University of Makassar, Indonesia
- **Edward Adhawan** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00007](#)), Islamic State College of Majene, Indonesia
- **Muhammad** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00008](#)), State Islamic Institute of Pagaragan, Indonesia
- **Fitri Nurrohmah** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00009](#)), Muhammadiyah University of Selabang, Lampung, Indonesia
- **Muhammad** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00010](#)), State Islamic Institute of Palopo, Indonesia
- **Muhammad** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00011](#)), State Islamic Institute of Palopo, Indonesia
- **Muhammad** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00012](#)), State Islamic Institute of Palopo, Indonesia
- **Novi Fadhil Nurrohmah** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00013](#)), Islamic State College of Majene, Indonesia
- **Salsabilla Tere Pulangpana** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00014](#)), Muhammadiyah University of Palopo, Indonesia
- **Muhammad Fauzan** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00015](#)), Muhammadiyah University of Palopo, Indonesia
- **Arif Nur Hafidza** (ORCID ID: [10.21961/2021.00016](#)), Muhammadiyah University of Palopo, Indonesia

Presidential Issues

- **Focus & Scope**
- **Publication Fee**
- **Plagiarism Policy**
- **Scalability**

OUR TEAM

- **Editorial Board**
- **Reviewers Team**

Articles More

- **Make Submission**
- **Article Guidelines**
- **Manuscript Template**

Home | JIJTA | Search

10.17959/jijta.v1i1.123456

- **Budi Pika**, (ORCID ID: [10.1111/123456789](#)) | Fikomastika University of Pelang, Indonesia
- **Widy Pusjianto Suganto**, Jurusan Pendidikan of Indonesia, Indonesia
- **Tiara Rizka Sari**, (ORCID ID: [10.1111/123456789](#)) | STIA Ar-Raniry, State Islamic University of Padang, Indonesia
- **Khaerul Akbar Hidayat**, (ORCID ID: [10.1111/123456789](#)) | Ministry of Education of Malaysia, Malaysia
- **Umi Laila**, (ORCID ID: [10.1111/123456789](#)) | Odih University, Indonesia
- **Taufiq Hidayat**, University of Lampung, South Africa
- **Syahrul**, (ORCID ID: [10.1111/123456789](#)) | Al-Islamiah State Islamic University of Makassar, Indonesia
- **Ali F. Hidayat**, (ORCID ID: [10.1111/123456789](#)) | Muhammadiyah University of North Maluku, Indonesia
- **Dr. Kujawa**, Lembaga Riset dan Inovasi Institute of Pharmaceutical Science, Indonesia
- **Pratiwi Laila Liana**, (ORCID ID: [10.1111/123456789](#)) | Ombudsman University of Pelang, Indonesia
- **Donny Hartono**, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh
- **Mohamad Taufiq**, (ORCID ID: [10.1111/123456789](#)) | Hui De Kerk University, Indonesia
- **Shamsi Huda**, (ORCID ID: [10.1111/123456789](#)) | Alexandria University, Egypt

English Studies

FOOTER : Citations

Most Used List Item

Teacher Strategies in Teaching Speaking Skills at STAN 1 Padang

314

Author: [Widyaningrum](#)

10 Year English Literature in Indonesia: English in English Meeting Class

Home | JIJTA | Search

10.17959/jijta.v1i1.123456

Articles

Integration in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) Classroom Context

1-11 | 10.17959/jijta.v1i1.123456 | [Hil. Pritzah](#), [Hil. Lina](#)
[Email: \[hil.pritzah@unp.ac.id\]\(#\)](#)

English Studies' Motivation and Their Learning Styles in Speaking Class at SeberangLampung University of Makassar

1-11 | 10.17959/jijta.v1i1.123456 | [Nuzulijah Tani](#), [Nuzulijah Tani](#)
[Email: \[nuzulijah.tani@unsm.ac.id\]\(#\)](#)

Types of Proficiency (dan AFM) Undergraduate) Competence in Translating English Conversation and Pictorial Text

1-11 | 10.17959/jijta.v1i1.123456 | [Fitria Maheswari Sima](#), [Dalya Melitah Apriyanti](#), [Fitria Maheswari Sima](#)
[Email: \[fitria.maheswari@unp.ac.id\]\(#\)](#)

English Studies

FOOTER : Citations

Most Used List Item

Teacher Strategies in Teaching Speaking Skills at STAN 1 Padang

314

Author: [Widyaningrum](#)

10 Year English Literature in Indonesia: English in English Meeting Class

Screenshot of a web browser displaying a list of research articles. The browser address bar shows the URL: <https://www.gilgamesh.com/...>

The page displays two columns of article listings. Each listing includes a title, a date, a citation count, and the author's name.

Left Column:

- IQM Method in Teaching Reading: How Do (Highly Motivated) College-bound of Native Prose at SMAN 7 Yogyakarta?**
Date: 11-11-2023
Citations: 0
Author: Wahyu Erawati, A. Saif Hattami
- A Decade of Research on Professional Development in a Graduate Program: A Systematic Review**
Date: 01-11-2023
Citations: 0
Author: Theah Yhen Le, Siam Mai Le

Right Column:

- Students' Strategies in Reading Reading Skills at SMAN 1 Palopo**
Citations: 0
- Hydrotropic Substances in Learning English in English-Minority Class**
Citations: 0
- Translation-Practice-Production (TPP)**
Citations: 0
- An Analysis of Students' Spelling Errors in Writing at the 4th grade of SMPN 2 Kassarigat**
Citations: 0
- Does It Affect the Students' Motivation in Comprehending IELTS Reading Text?**
Citations: 0

Below the right column, there is a red header labeled "Appendix" and a partially visible line graph.

The Windows taskbar at the bottom shows the date and time as 11/11/2023, 11:32 AM, and the system tray includes icons for network, volume, and battery.

SQ3R METHOD IN TEACHING READING: HOW IT IMPROVES STUDENTS' COMPREHENSION OF NARRATIVE TEXTS AT SMAN 2 TRENGGALEK

¹Wawan Prasetyo

¹STKIP PGRI Trenggalek, Trenggalek, Indonesia

wawprasetyo23@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Many students think that reading skill is really difficult and complicated. Students said that finding meaning is confusing. Sometimes students misinterpret the text even if they have sufficient vocabulary. The problem in understanding the text is likely to trigger another problem in English, such as giving up easily and being lazy to continue studying their English activity. Moreover, reading is the activity most frequently done by students. They read the lesson written by their teacher on the whiteboard and from the books. Meanwhile, reading narrative text is of reading types that are mostly discussed in senior high school. In trying to solve this problem, the writer did classroom action research in his school. This research was done to improve students' reading comprehension in the tenth-grade students of SMAN 2 Trenggalek through the SQ3R method. The data of this research was gained from the interview, observation, test, questionnaire and English score. This research was conducted in two cycles. The result of the first cycle and second cycle indicated that the number of students who passed the test is increasing significantly. Based on the result of the study, it can be concluded that the SQ3R method can improve reading comprehension skills.

Keywords: Narrative Text, Reading Comprehension, SQ3R Method

INTRODUCTION

The first most probable thing that new enrolled student do is read and write activity. It is usually used by the teachers to measure the academic competence for new students. Similarly, the new English student is usually considered fairly competent if they can read and write. It is not weird because read and write activity are one which is frequently done at school. However, reading is done a lot since the material given by teachers are usually delivered through media such as whiteboard or presentation on application or another printed media. At the first stages of learning, teacher will explain the material first. They also use books as reference and to give more explanation about the lesson. After giving lesson, exercise is also provided to measure the achievement of the students. And it is through reading will the students take the learning process.

Reading skill is really important for teaching and learning process. Students can gain more information if they are good at reading. They can read and understand lots of information from every sources. Reading can make us find unlimited information we want.

We can get many knowledge, information, even create new work or product (Galuh & Romadhon, 2020). We can find everything from everywhere when we are good at reading. Nowadays, students can seek for whatever information they wish from the internet. They can gain what they cannot get from the classroom. In addition, the activity of reading is not only done for academic purpose only. Students can read for pleasure and fun activity. They can read newspaper, magazine, article on the internet for his leisure time. Reading fiction is also good for students. Reading is usually undertaken for some purpose, in a social context, and that social context itself contributes to a reader's notion what it means to read, or, as recent thinkers tend to put it, to be literate (Alderson, 2005). So, reading comprehension skill can support students to grow themselves.

However, many students think that reading is really difficult and confusing. Many research showed that the Indonesian's reading ability is low (Masruroh, 2015). Besides their vocabulary problem, many students said that finding meaning of text is complicated. They got confused to find the meaning resides on text and often misinterpret the meaning. This also happens when they read fictional works. It takes many times to understand works written in narrative text. Narratives are read more quickly than expository text, and scores on recall and comprehension tests are generally higher for narrative text (Hudson, 2011).

One of many ways in teaching reading skill is using SQ3R sequence (Brown, 2007). The recent studies done by some writers showed that SQ3R strategy could improve students' reading comprehension. In addition, SQ3R method is applicable to most reading text and reading assignments which can be done in a group or individually (Dharma, Ahmad Surya, Abdullah, 2013). Research done by Prasutiyo (Prasutiyo, 2014) from State University of Yogyakarta showed that this strategy could also improve reading comprehension of student of junior high school 1 Jogonalan Klaten, Central Java. He focused his research in recount text. He did his research using collaborative classroom action research since he was not teaching in the subject class. He collaborated with English teacher and his research partner. His finding was that SQ3R method was successful in teaching English recount text. Students became more confident to recite or tell their experience in front of the classroom because they already knew in detail what should be told to class. It made students more enthusiastic and more motivated.

Another study was done by Yenisa from Syarif Hidayatullah Islamic University Jakarta (Yenisa, 2017). He used Quasi Experimental to study the effectiveness of SQ3R in student's reading comprehension ability in Hortatory exposition text. The subject of the research he used is eleventh science grade students of SMA Annajah Bogor. He compared two group of students divided into experiment group and control group. He gave the treatment to experimental group by teaching them reading of hortatory text using SQ3R strategy which would be compared with control group taught by conventional strategy. His analysis showed that there is significant difference result of using SQ3R in teaching reading hortatory exposition text. In other words, he concluded that teaching reading of hortatory exposition text using SQ3R strategy is more effective than teaching it using conventional ones.

Unlike the studies above, the writer focuses on reading comprehension using narrative text. The text given to the students comprises of orientation, complication, resolution and

reorientation as the generic structure. Therefore, there are more points to recites structurally compared with the previous studies.

At school, reading narrative is not taught separately as it is integrated in text together with another skills and exercises. However, as the writer has observed previously, the skill of reading must be possessed by students to make the learning process goes well. Reading is not just the activity of transmitting symbol to a message or finding meaning inside the text only. We can translate text that we read too. Moreover, comprehending reading can also be influenced by the reader's previous knowledge too.

This research focused on reading narrative text. Narrative is an account of a series of events, facts, etc., given in order and with the establishing of connections between them. Elliot said that Narratives (stories) in the human sciences should be defined provisionally as discourses with a clear sequential order that connect events in a meaningful way for a definite audience and thus offer insights about the world and/or people's experiences of it (Elliott, 2005). Furthermore, Narratives deal with problematic events which lead to a crisis or turning point of some kind, which in turn finds a resolution (Rohim, 2009). In Narrative text, we can see the sequence starting from orientation, complication, resolution and reorientation (Lubis, 2017).

From the observation made by the writer, it was found that there were some problems that was faced by the tenth-grade students of SMAN 2 Trenggalek. First, the students were less motivated to study English and their enthusiasm in learning English text was really low. That is why students think English is really difficult. When students do not know the answer of a question, he/she is likely to give up easily. In fact, good achievement in reading can boost motivation as well (Jannah, 2018). Second, the students did not pay much attention in the classroom because they gave up earlier when they know that they have to understand the text. Usually what happen in reading class is just answering question and find difficult word only. Consequently, students mostly wait for teacher's instruction only. In other words, the lesson became teacher centered. As the result it is difficult to comprehend reading the text. Therefore, their reading achievement is low.

To solve the problem, the writer applied a method in teaching reading. The method is called SQ3R which stands for Survey, Question, Read, Recite and Review." It is one effective series of procedures for approaching a reading text which consists of five steps." (Brown, 2007). SQ3R is also easy to use and applicable to another texts genres (Risdianti, 2020). And as explained above, the writer here did the research to higher level students from social class. The writer here was curious enough to do inquiry to social class, not to science classes which have been studied by many writers.

METHODS

The research design used was classroom action research taken from Kemmis and Mc Taggart (Latief, 2015). It has four research stages, they are planning, implementing, observing and reflecting. The writer collaborated with the English teacher in doing the research. The population of the research is the tenth-grade students of SMAN 2 Trenggalek in the Academic Year of 2020/2021. The writer used purposive sample because he has certain goal (Arikunto, S, 2010). He wanted to know the progress of the class which already

got a problem in reading comprehension. And the sample of this research is X IPS 4. The writer chose this class following the English teacher recommendation as this class have the lowest average English score. The class consisted of 32 students. The data were collected by using test and non-test instrument. To measure the reading comprehension achievement, pretest and posttest were used. The writer used multiple choice test, the most popular method of testing a reading knowledge of vocabulary and grammar (Brown, 2004). While to record the activity of writer, students, learning process and to complete the data, the writer used observation sheet and interview sheet as the non-test instrument. The observation done in this research was participant observation since the writer was actually teaching this class, but different lesson. Therefore, the writer could do structured observation as he already knew the variable to observe (Sugiyono, 2012). Meanwhile, the writer also used structured interview as he already knew information to get as well (Sugiyono, 2012). The data analysis is conducted in different ways, there are qualitative and quantitative data. Qualitative data is used to analyze data from interview, observation and fieldnote. Then, the quantitative data is used in order to analyze data from the students' reading comprehension achievement.

RESULTS

This part discusses the result of preliminary study and the inquiry. There are 2 cycles in this research, action cycle 1 and action cycle 2. Preliminary study was conducted by interviewing the English teacher of SMAN 2 Trenggalek. It was done to know about the problems and difficulties in teaching and learning of English especially reading comprehension. Based on the interview, the writer found that there were some problems faced by the students in teaching and learning process in the classroom. In addition to gain the raw data, the writer also interviewed several students. After doing the interview, the writer identified some problems. First, the students were not really interested in reading English text since the activity usually done in the classroom was only answering question from the text. Second, the students did not pay attention and were not enthusiastic when the teacher gave explanation. Besides the monotonous routine itself, students think that English is complicated and difficult. As a result, they got difficulty to comprehend reading the text. After interviewing the English teacher, the writer gave pre-test to measure the students' reading comprehension. By giving the test, the writer knew that the students' reading comprehension was still low. It was exactly the same as the English teacher had informed to the writer beforehand.

In the preliminary test done by the writer, it was found that among 32 students, only 8 students got score more than 68 and 24 students got score less than 68. The percentage of success is:

$$\frac{8}{32} \times 100\% = 25\%$$

From this calculation, the writer found that the students who passed the preliminary study of reading comprehension test were 25% or 8 students and there were 75% or 24 students could not reach the minimum criteria. The criteria of success that had been

determined were 70% of the students who should pass the test and the standard value were 68. It was based on the minimum standard score issued from school. The preliminary test showed that this test result could not achieve the criteria of success. Based on the result of the preliminary study above, the writer and the English teacher worked together to plan the use of SQ3R to improve the reading comprehension achievement.

The first step was designing the lesson plan. It was used by the writer to guide the students to implement the strategy in teaching learning process. The lesson plan was consisted of identity of school, standard competence, basic competence, indicators, learning objectives, teaching material, teaching technique, teaching procedures, learning sources and assessment. The writer also made some instruments that were needed by the writer in implementing the strategy during the teaching learning that had been done and to get the data in cycle 1. The instruments used by the writer were interview guidance, observation sheet, reading test and questionnaire. The second step was acting. This section presented the description of activities during the writer in each meeting. There were two meetings conducted in cycle 1 including first meeting for teaching and learning process and second meeting for giving a test. Then, the teacher discussed about SQ3R method and its steps, that is: survey, question, read, recite and review. The students should understand about the SQ3R method, what is the meaning, what is the purpose and also about its steps. After the students get the point and understand about SQ3R method, the teacher asked students to practice it.

At the first meeting, the first step is Survey, the teacher asked students to skim the example of narrative text that had been given. The writer gave a text entitled "Golden Eggs." The second step is Question, the teacher asked students to make some question related to the text by themselves. Then, the third step is Read, here the students were asked to read whole text carefully and also to find the answer of the question that was made by the students. After the students find the answer, they discussed together, it has to know whether the answer is correct or incorrect. Next, fourth step is Recite. In this step, students were asked to recite the text to strengthen their memory about the content of the text. And the last step is Review. In this step the teacher asked the students to summarize, make a note and write the main points of each paragraph in the text with their own words.

Afterwards, the second meeting was given and focused on giving a test to the students. In main activity, the teacher gave students worksheet contains of narrative text. Then, asked the students to do the worksheet by using SQ3R method. The teacher gave several times to finish the task. After the students finish doing the task, the teacher asked students to exchange their worksheet with their friends. Then, asked the students to discuss the answer together and also correct their friend's worksheet. After it has already done, the teacher asked the students to give score to their friend's worksheet. Then, asked the students to collect their worksheet.

The third step was observing. The observation is done during the action. It was very important in order to monitor the activity concerning with the implementation of SQ3R method in teaching reading. The writer was helped by the English teacher to be the observer in the classroom. The observer used observation sheet to observe the writer's and the student's activities in line with the plan or not. In this research, the observation sheets in the form of checklist are used to record the student and the research activity during the teaching

and learning process using SQ3R method. The writer used the observation sheet in observing. Based on the result of observation in meeting 1 and 2, the writer found some problems that was faced during teaching learning process. Although, the teacher did all of activity based on lesson plan. In addition, the teaching procedures in the classroom were also suitable with lesson plan. But it was found the weaknesses in teaching strategy. The teacher did not give enough attention for the students thoroughly. He focused only on paying some students who were active in the class. Besides that, the students did not pay attention when the teacher gave explanation and some students talked each other. The last step of the first cycle was reflecting. The writer conducted reading post-test 1. The test was done to measure the students' comprehension in reading narrative text. It was also to know the effectiveness of implementing SQ3R method in teaching reading narrative text. The writer gave 10 questions in multiple choices form (each of right answer has score 10 and the wrong answer has score 0) and gave the time to finish this test was 80 minutes. The result of the test on cycle 1 was compared with the criteria of success.

From posttest done, 18 students got score more than 68 and 14 students got less than 68. The percentage of success is:

$$\frac{18}{32} \times 100\% = 56.25\%$$

Based on the reading post-test 1, the students who could pass in this cycle were 18 or 56.25% students and 14 or 43.75% students were still failed. Meanwhile, the criteria of success that had been determined were 70%. So, it means that the research was not successful yet. The writer also analyzed the observation sheet, it also found the weaknesses of implementation of SQ3R method in reading teaching learning. The teacher did not give enough attention to the whole students. He focused only on those paying attention or who were active in the classroom. Besides that, the students did not pay attention when the teacher gave explanation and even some students talked each other. Considering the result of the post test that was given in the cycle 1, learning from the class criteria of success has not been achieved, therefore; cycle two had to be done.

In cycle 2, the writer needed to make several improvements. It was needed to solve the problems that have been found in cycle 1. The action of the cycle 2 was based on the revision of the lesson plan in the cycle 1 by considering the students weaknesses in the action of teaching learning process. In cycle 2, before doing the action, the writer designed the lesson plan as the first start. The writer designed the lesson plan in cycle 2 with some differences. These changes were focused on the main activity in teaching learning process. In cycle 2, students were divided into group. Each group consist of 4 students. So, students would do the assignment in groups. In addition, after doing the assignment students should present their work in front of the classroom. Besides that, the writer made some instruments to record the process during the action done and got data on cycle 2. Next step in cycle 2 is acting. The application of the acting was carried out based on the lesson plan made by the writer before. In main activity, the teacher explained again about the narrative text. Then, based on the revised lesson plan, the teacher asked the students to make a group consist of 4 students. After that, the teacher gave students worksheet contains of narrative text entitled

Cinderella. The teacher asked the students to do the worksheet with their groups by using SQ3R method. The students did survey by skimming the whole text. They skimmed and put their more attention to points of generic structure of narrative text. They focused on the orientation of the text at the first phase of reading. Afterwards they found the complication and the resolution of the text. After it had already done, the teacher asked the students to present their work in front of the class group by group. Next, in group, they tried to find questions from the text. Afterwards they read the whole text and recite it alternately. In the last stage students review the answer of the question together in group and present them in front of the classroom. When each group presented in front of the class, another group were paying attention. Then, after all off the group had a presentation in front of the class, the teacher and the students discussed the answer of the questions together. Next step is observing. The observation was done during the action. It was very important in order to monitor the activity concerning with the implementation of SQ3R method in teaching reading. The writer was again helped by the English teacher to be the observer in the classroom. Based on the result of observation, the teacher did all of activity based on lesson plan. The teaching procedures in the classroom were also suitable with lesson plan. The teacher not only focused with some students who active in the class, but also, he focused and supported other students to be active. Besides that, it was found that understanding the text in group was easier than individually. They could share and help each other so it made the student more active entirely. The next step was giving test to the students. The test was done to measure the students' comprehension in reading narrative text. It was also to know the effectiveness of implementing SQ3R method in teaching reading narrative text. The result of the test on cycle 2 was compared with the criteria of success.

The result of the test was that 30 students got score more 68 and only 2 students got less than 68. The percentage of success was:

$$\frac{30}{32} \times 100\% = 93.75\%$$

After the implementation the action and also giving post-test, the writer made reflection. The reflection was done after analyzing the results of the observation and the reading posttest in cycle 2. The reflection evaluated the teacher and the students' activities in teaching learning process. Based on the reading post-test 2, the students who could pass in this cycle were 30 or 93.75% students and only 2 or 6,25 % students were failed. Meanwhile, the criteria of success that had been determined were 70%. So, it means that the research was successful.

The writer also analyzed the observation sheet. Based on the result of observation in meeting 1 and 2, the teaching procedures in the classroom were also suitable with lesson plan. The writer not only focused with some students who active in the class partially, but he focused on whole students to be active. Besides, this activity is much easier done in group. They were able to share and completed each other so it made the student more active and enthusiastic. In conclusion, the action in this cycle ran successfully and the writer had stopped the cycle.

DISCUSSION

By observing the students' reading comprehension from preliminary study, test of reading comprehension both on the first and the second cycle, there was significance increase of the success. The percentage of success in pretest was really low at the beginning. The percentage was only 25%. The post test of the cycle one showed little improvement on the percentage. After carrying out the first cycle, the success percentage goes up to 56.25%. And the success percentage rose significantly on the post test of cycle two where it reached 93.75%. It is obvious that there is improvement of the students' reading comprehension from preliminary study to post-test 1 and post-test 2. These percentages were compared with the criteria of success which had been set by the writer referring to the school curriculum. From the explanation in the previous chapter, the writer determined that the criteria of success in this research was 70%. On preliminary study, the students who could pass the cycle 1 were 8 or 25% students and the 24 or 75% students were failed. Then on the post-test 1, the students who could pass in cycle 2 were 18 or 56.25% students and the 14 or 43.75% students were failed. Although it had not reached the criteria of success, but there was increasing of the success. On the post-test 2, the students who could pass in this cycle were 30 or 93.75% students and the 2 or 6.25% students were failed. It had reached the criteria of success and it was increasing of the success. It means that the test was successful. Because it was compared with the criteria of success and more than 70% students passed the test.

In addition to the reading comprehension test, the data was taken from questionnaire sheet that was given to the students as the respondent after cycle 2 was accomplished. The writer gave some items in questionnaire to know the students' response of SQ3R method. The writer made closed question with 2 options; "Yes" and "No" and allowing students to give their opinion. Then he analyzed every item by using Guttman Scale. "Yes" answer scored 1 and "No" answer scored 0 (Riduwan, 2010). The percentage of each item is analyzed and compared to the criteria of interpretation score. The first question was if the students like reading book. 20 students or 62.5% students said that they like reading. Most of them like reading fictional book. They like reading book which can amuse them. In other words, they like reading story book more than scientific book. In here the material could meet their expectation. The second question was if the students like narrative text. 25 students or 78.12% students said that they like reading narrative text. They like narrative text because there is story which can make them amused. Elliot said that Narratives (stories) in the human sciences should be defined provisionally as discourses with a clear sequential order that connect events in a meaningful way for a definite audience and thus offer insights about the world and/or people's experiences of it (Elliott, 2005). The story of the narrative text can give them experience and pleasure. Then, the writer asked if the students like SQ3R method. 31 students said that they can follow this method and can enjoy the stages. In other words, 96.87% students like this method. They also said that this method can give students more experience. They felt that there is a challenge in every step that they must accomplished. This method attracts the students to find clues in reading text. The clues can help students to understand the text because there is always key ideas in sentences (Djuharie, 2008). Once

we know key ideas on sentences, we will be able to comprehend the text easily. Next, the writer asked if students feel easier in comprehending the text using this method. 30 students said that they felt easier to comprehend the text using this method. 93.75% students said that this method can give them direction to do the next instruction. This method facilitates students to prepare and anticipate the questions. It made them ready to answer the problem, therefore this method can also boost the confidence of the student. Prasutiyo (2014) in his research said that SQ3R method is successful in encouraging students to comprehend the text. When students know what to do they are likely to be confident enough to take the challenge in reading class. Another question was if the students are more motivated in studying reading using this method. They said that this method can make them feel easier in comprehending text so the students feel more motivated. Once the level of confident rose the students are more eager to do more instruction. And the last question was about if the students like doing assignment in groups. 32 students of 100% students said that they like accomplishing assignment in group. They said it is much easier and lighter because they do the instruction together with their friends in a group. They can complete one another and they can help one another anytime the found difficulties. They can finish the work even faster in group. Therefore, they like studying in group more than done individually. Group work can create a learning community. By studying in a group, every student will have more space to learn. They are likely to have opportunity to engage themselves into learning process. So, students will feel welcome and have more chances to contribute to the learning in the group or society. In fact, human have a basic need to be part of a social community (Barkley, 2010). From the result above, it can be concluded that the students are interested and excited to follow this strategy. Consequently, their reading comprehension can be improved and developed.

CONCLUSION

The writer finds that after the implementation of the strategy, the students' reading comprehension can be improved. So, it means that implementation of SQ3R can improve the tenth-grade students' reading comprehension at SMAN 2 Trenggalek. It can be seen by the increase of the student's reading test result from preliminary study, to cycle 1 and cycle 2. From the cycle 1, it is known that the number of the students who passed the reading comprehension test has increased. There were 56.25% or 18 out of 32 students who passed the reading comprehension test. But it has not met the criteria of success. So, the writer did the cycle 2. The writer found another increasing number of the students who passed the reading comprehension test on cycle 2. The result of the study on this cycle was 30 or 93.75% students passed the test. It was successful and it has met the criteria of success. It means that the students' reading comprehension increased after the strategy had been implemented.

Based on the students' responses, the writer concluded that the implementation of SQ3R method makes easier for students to understand the material. The students also pay more attention on the teachers' explanation. In other words, the successful learning using this method can increase the motivation in learning (Jannah, 2018). And in this research, all of them were so attracted to have implementation of SQ3R method.

Based on the conclusion above, some suggestions are offered to the students, the teachers and other writers in order to improve reading comprehension achievement. The students of SMAN 2 Trenggalek are suggested to practice and carry out this strategy when they have reading class. While based on the result of the research, the implementation of SQ3R method was successful as an alternative way that could improve the tenth-grade students' reading comprehension at SMAN 2 Trenggalek. So, the writer suggested that English teacher at SMAN 2 Trenggalek should use SQ3R method as alternative way in teaching reading to improve their students' reading comprehension. Besides that, the SQ3R method could make the student easier to understand the material and also could improve the students' ability to identify important points and better retention of the material. In addition, the writer hopes that this study will be useful for the future writer as the reference to make further research. It is also advisable for them to use other method in their study that can be used for improving the students' reading comprehension.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The writer would like to express his gratitude to his college, STKIP PGRI Trenggalek for giving the writer facility to do the research. The gratitude also addressed upon the headmaster of SMAN 2 Trenggalek for giving permission to the writer to do the research. Last but not least, the writer expresses his greatest gratitude to the rector of State Islamic Institute Palopo and Foster Team for giving opportunity to publish this article.

REFERENCES

- Alderson, J. C. (2005). *Assesing Reading*. Cambridge University Press.
- Arikunto, S. (2010). *Prosedur Penelitian* (14th ed.). Rineka Cipta.
- Barkley, E. F. (2010). *Student Engagement Technique*. Jossey-Bass.
- Brown, H. D. (2004). *Language Assesment: Principles and Classroom Practices*. Pearson Education, Inc.
- Brown, H. D. (2007). *Teaching by Principles an Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy*. Pearson Education, Inc.
- Dharma, Ahmad Surya, Abdullah, S. (2013). The Implementation Of SQ3R Strategy To Teach Reading News Item Text To Tenth Grade Students Of. *Universitas Negeri Surabaya*, 4.
- Djuharie, O. S. (2008). *Extensive Reading Top-Down Reading*. Yrama Widya.
- Elliott, J. (2005). *[Jane Elliott] Using Narrative in Social Research*. Sage Publication Inc.
- Galuh, M., & Romadhon, E. (2020). *The Implementation of Robinson 's Strategy (SQ3R) to enhance the Reading Ability in English Class*. December, 17-18.
- Hudson, T. (2011). *Teaching Second Language Reading*. Oxford University Press.
- Jannah, M. (2018). The Effect of Survey, Question, Read, Recite, and Review (SQ3R): Method and Learning Motivation of Achievement in Learning Indonesian Language. *International Research Journal of Engineering, IT & Scientific Research*, 4(1), 1-11.

<https://doi.org/10.21744/irjeis.v4i1.585>

- Latief, M. A. (2015). *Research Methods on Language Learning an Introduction* (2nd ed.). Universitas Negeri Malang.
- Lubis, R. F. (2017). Narrative Text. *English Education: English Journal for Teaching and Learning*, 5(2), 1. <https://doi.org/10.24952/ee.v5i2.1176>
- Masruroh, M. S. (2015). SQ3R Implementation in Teaching Reading Comprehension: a case study of eight grade students at one state MTs in sumedang. *Journal of English and Education*, 3(1), 106-121.
- Prasutiyo, A. R. (2014). *Improving The Eight Grade Students Reading Skill Through SQ3R Technique In SMPN 1 Jogonalan In The Academic Year Of 2013/2014*. Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta.
- Riduwan. (2010). *Metode dan Teknik Menyusun Tests* (7th ed.). Alfabeta.
- Risdianti, R. (2020). *The Effect of SQ3R Technique on Students' Reading Comprehension of Spoof Texts*. Syarif Hidayatullah Islamic University of Jakarta.
- Rohim, F. (2009). *Teaching Reading*. Ministry of National Education.
- Sugiyono. (2012). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan* (15th ed.). Alfabeta.
- Yenisa, M. R. (2017). *The Effectiveness of Using SQ3R Technique in Students' Reading Comprehension of Hortatory Exposition Text*. State Islamic University Syarif Hidayatullah.